DATE TO Supervisor, Art Unit SUBJECT: Certificate of Correction Request in Patent No. A response to the following question(s) is requested with respect to the accompanying request for a certificate of correction. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 constitute new matter or require reexamination of the application? Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 materially affect the scope or meaning of the claims allowed by the examiner in the patent? Applicant disagrees with change(s) initialed and dated by Examiner in lieu of an Examiner's Amendment. Should the change request be granted? With respect to the change(s) requested, correcting office errors, should the patent read as shown in the certificate of correction? Please respond to Prifitum under 324 + heturn case to CPC-If the amendment filed had been considered by the Examiner, would the amendment have been entered? PLEASE RESPOND WITHIN 7 DAYS AND RETURN THE FILE TO Room 918, PK-3 Michelle Williams
Patent Assistant TO: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION BRANCH DATE: The decision regarding the change(s) requested in the certificate of correction is shown below. ☐ YES ☐ Comments below ☐ YES ON D ☐ Comments below ☐ YES ON D Comments below Comments below ☐ YES ON D ☐ YES Ои Comments below

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE **CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT NO. :

5,545,404

DATED

August 13, 1996

INVENTOR(S):

PAGE

It is certified that error appears in the above-indentified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the face page, under the title, change

"[75] Inventor:

Martin J. Page, Beekenham, United Kingdom".

to read as

--[75] Inventor:

Martin J. Page, Beckenham, United Kingdom, James

Scott Crowe, United Kingdom--

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER:

PATENT NO.

No. of add'l copies

